

# Permaculture, Peak Oil and 9/11

## Connecting the dots for a positive future

**OILEMPIRE.US**

stolen elections - 9/11 - fascism

World War IV - media - Peak Oil

This newsletter is published by the website [www.oilempire.us](http://www.oilempire.us) -- an effort toward honest elections in the United States, for practical solutions to the crises of declining petroleum and other critical natural resources that keep our civilization functioning, to support independent media voices that expose the deep politics of entrenched corruption in the military-industrial complex, and ultimately, to inoculate against the probability of additional "terror" attacks staged by state based or allied intelligence services by exposing the complicity of the Bush regime in the events of September 11. When US citizens see through the deception of 9/11, the threat of additional "false flag" atrocities (committed by governments to blame on their enemies) will diminish rapidly.

Oil Empire is part of the "Deception Dollar" campaign, an international effort that has distributed more than five million "Deception Dollars" to bypass media censorship about Bush's biggest scandals.



### Why was the election stolen?

**WHY** the 2004 Presidential election was stolen is more important than **HOW**. The "neo-conservatives" in the White House do not want to be voted out, since they would face prosecution for war crimes in Iraq and Afghanistan, human rights abuses at Guantanamo and other concentration camps, and for blocking efforts to prevent 9/11.

Canada and most of Europe use paper ballots counted by hand, not phony voting machines made by fundamentalists. Their citizens enjoy universal health care, are given longer vacations, are installing renewable energy faster than the US and are not invading other countries.



### 9/11: Bush's Achilles Heel

The main reason Bush is still in the White House is that many people think he responded well to the attacks. The film "Fahrenheit 9/11" was hated by the Bush administration because it touched on the deeper, dangerous issues that could topple the regime. The images of Bush reading "My Pet Goat" while the towers burned raises the question why Bush was not engaged as Commander-in-Chief, summoning the air defenses to stop the attacks.

*And if Bush wasn't in charge, who was?*

### Cheney's crime

A book that was published during the election campaign provides credible evidence that Vice President Richard Cheney, the man behind the throne, was in charge of numerous military and intelligence "war games" on 9/11. "Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil" by Michael Ruppert, accuses Cheney of coordinating "war game" exercises that morning that made the attacks possible. One of these was a "plane into building scenario" at the National Reconnaissance Office near Dulles Airport, Virginia (which runs US spy satellites). NORAD, the Air Force division in charge of air defense, was running multiple exercises, including live fly simulations, and radar "injects" (fake blips) that confused air traffic controllers.

While Ruppert's work has been ridiculed by the liberal "alternative" media and ultra-conspiracy theorists (some of whom promote disinformation claims that discredit real investigations), no one has made a substantial challenge to the information about the role of the war games in ensuring that the Air Force would not be able to stop 9/11.

A Zogby poll of New York City residents last August found that half thought Bush knew about 9/11 in advance. Many leading Democrats privately think this, yet are scared to publicly say it. *9/11 is the only scandal that could lead to impeachment of Bush and Cheney.*




### Peak Oil: the real connection between Iraq and 9/11

Surviving and thriving after Peak Oil will require using the resources currently used on the military. How we use the remaining half of the oil determines the future of human civilization: Will we use the oil to make solar panels and relocalize food production, or will we use it to build more military equipment to dominate the globe to control the oil as it runs out? Most peace advocates would agree the latter scenario will end in utter disaster. Using the remaining oil for permaculture would be better than a Fourth Reich.

Converting those resources to peaceful, permaculture use will require removing the pretext for the "war that will not end in our lifetime" (as Cheney calls it). Every war that has a pretext to whip up public fear and anger, since few people support wars otherwise. Very few US citizens would have supported the Iraq war if Bush had admitted the real reasons: to block Saddam Hussein's switch from Dollars to Euros (which threatened US economic dominance), and to control the planet's remaining oil supplies as we approach Peak Oil.

This peaceful conversion, in turn, requires discrediting the official claim that 9/11 was a surprise attack. This newsletter highlights evidence proven beyond reasonable doubt, sourced to the mass media and official testimony, and how it fits a complicity paradigm much better than an "incompetence" paradigm.



# Evaluating the Paradigms to Understand 9/11 - [www.oilempire.us](http://www.oilempire.us)

|  |  | was 9/11 a surprise attack?   | 9/11 was not a surprise attack!   |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| <b>C<br/>L<br/>A<br/>I<br/>M<br/>S</b> | <b>Incompetence</b><br>Bush bungled the protection of New York and Washington. The intelligence systems were overwhelmed with vague suggestions that something unspecified was about to happen. The different federal agencies were unable to communicate effectively with each other to share collected information that could have prevented the attacks.<br>The best way to prevent a repeat of 9/11 is to give the military, Homeland Security and intelligence agencies bigger budget and to reduce our civil liberties even further. | <b>Blowback</b><br>We were attacked in retaliation for our violent foreign policy (the CIA calls this kind of revenge "blowback"), not because the terrorists hate our freedoms. <b>This is the view of most liberal / left "alternative" media</b> (although they still state that Bush is innocent of any actual complicity and did not have foreknowledge). Michael Moore's film Fahrenheit 9/11 blames Bush for being blind to the schemes of the Saudis, but doesn't accuse his administration of deliberate malice in allowing 9/11, merely incompetence, poor prioritization and similar excuses.  | <b>Pearl Harbor</b><br>"Bush Knew" and deliberately let it happen on purpose in order to galvanize a divided public to support world war, similar to President Roosevelt's decision not to defend against the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, which provided the excuse to enter World War II.<br><br>The Project for a New American Century, a "neo-con" group whose members run the Bush regime, stated in September 2000 that a "new Pearl Harbor" would be needed for their geo-political goals. <b>Since Richard Perle was a part of the PNAC group, perhaps 9/11 could be called "Perle Harbor."</b>   | <b>Reichstag Fire</b><br>An "inside job" orchestrated by a faction in the government, similar to the burning of the Reichstag (German Parliament building) on February 27, 1933 by the Nazis, used as the excuse to "temporarily" suspend civil liberties.<br>It remains unknown who the hijackers were, and the precise degree of official complicity involved. <b>There are a lot of fake claims for "inside job" that make the real evidence hard to find.</b> Only a few US officials likely knew the full details of the impending attacks. Compartmentalization ensures secrecy.   |
|  | <b>E<br/>V<br/>I<br/>D<br/>E<br/>N<br/>C<br/>E</b>   | <b>This is the "limited hang out" -- admit to a small crime to avoid talking about the deeper, actual crimes.</b> There is no credible evidence that 9/11 was an "intelligence failure." <b>The real intelligence failure has been the media (both corporate owned and foundation funded "alternative" media),</b> that has not bothered to connect the dots of the evidence.<br>The Center for Cooperative Research "Complete 9/11 Timeline" (online at <a href="http://cooperativeresearch.org">cooperativeresearch.org</a> ) has more than 1,000 mass media articles that show that 9/11 was not a "failure."<br><b>The 9/11 Commission which supposedly investigated the attacks failed to ask the most basic questions and ignored nearly all of the evidence.</b> It is deception in the service of bigger budgets for Big Brother, and evisceration of domestic freedoms with the excuse that we need to protect the "Homeland" from terrorists. | <b>This is the fall back position -- the second tier limited hang out.</b> While the "blowback" thesis is tempting to believe, given the intense anger that US policies have created in the Middle East over many decades, <b>blowback is inadequate to explain the actual events of 9/11. Blowback still assumes that 9/11 was a surprise attack.</b><br>A precursor plot to 9/11, Operation Bojinka, was stopped in 1995 when terrorists in the Philippines were caught planning simultaneous hijackings of up to a dozen planes. Bojinka was probably merely blowback -- but whether it was more than this or not, it clearly shows that the US "intelligence community" knew about the threat of a 9/11 type event years before it happened.<br><br><b>Some "left" opponents of the Bush regime claim that Bush "Hijacked Catastrophe" after 9/11, but are unwilling to look at evidence that the regime was complicit.</b> | A detailed review of the best evidence proves beyond any reasonable doubt that <b>the kindest interpretation of the provable facts is that the Bush regime had foreknowledge and let it happen.</b><br>Warnings about 9/11 were received from at least 15 countries, some of them extremely specific. Massive stock trades betting that the value of United and American would decline were made the week before 9/11 (these trades are monitored in real time by the CIA). Several political, military and corporate leaders were privately warned not to fly or to get out of the way. Multiple FBI investigations of the "terrorists" in the months before 9/11 were suppressed -- several whistleblowers testified that it was obstruction, not incompetence.<br><b>Nearly all of this information is "hidden in plain sight" in the mass media, but journalists who dare to connect the dots get attacked by defenders of the surprise attack theory.</b> These defenders include Republican Bush supporters, the Democratic Party leadership and liberal "alternative" media -- The Nation, Alternative Radio, CounterPunch, Noam Chomsky and Democracy Now! |



**Evidence that is somewhere between the "Pearl Harbor" and Reichstag Fire paradigms**

**The inadequate response of the Air Force to the hijacked planes.** [www.oilempire.us/standdown.html](http://www.oilempire.us/standdown.html)

**The arranging of multiple war games to paralyze the Air Force response.** [www.oilempire.us/wargames.html](http://www.oilempire.us/wargames.html)

The war games and inadequate Air Force response could not have been arranged by crazed Arab fundamentalist hijackers. A few commentators have suggested that the war game information was used by the hijackers to ensure their success, but it is **unlikely that these hijackers would have chosen (or been able) to aim for the nearly empty part of the Pentagon.**

**A hybrid scenario that fits the known evidence is hijacking the hijackers.** In this view, the hijackers were allowed to finish their preparations, board the planes, hijack the controls but then remote control technology was used to ensure that the planes not only completed their missions but also did not strike targets that would have caused even more damage. Flight 11, the first hijacked plane, flew over Indian Point nuclear power station, just north of New York City (an attack there would have been much, much worse than 9/11). **If Flight 77 had hit any other part of the Pentagon, thousands of people could have been killed. This hybrid scenario is speculative, but remote control flight technology is commercially available.**

**We were attacked by al-Qaeda (Bush was either incompetent OR deliberately allowed it to happen)**

**9/11 was an "Inside Job" by al-CIA-duh!**

Any analysis of whether al-Qaeda really was solely responsible for 9/11 needs to examine the history of "false flag" operations conducted by intelligence agencies (atrocities committed by governments to blame on their enemies). Would al-Qaeda have been able to assume that the Air Force would fail to respond to the hijacked planes for nearly two hours? Would al-Qaeda have been able to schedule multiple military and intelligence agency war games --- including a "plane into building" exercise near Dulles Airport, Virginia -- to confuse the response? **Why would al-Qaeda, a group supposedly dedicated to causing as much destruction as possible, hit the nearly empty part of the Pentagon and hit the towers before they were full of workers?**

Two of the best analyses of the intelligence "legend" of al-Qaeda are "The Propaganda Preparation for 9/11" and "Peeling the Layers of the Onion" -- read them at: [oilempire.us/qaeda.html](http://oilempire.us/qaeda.html)

Nicholas Levis, 911truth.org:



Staging 9/11 as an inside job is going to work best (in fact, is likely to work only) if there actually exists an active network of anti-American terrorists who are deeply committed to killing Americans in response to U.S. policy. In other words, those who would blame Qaeda need a (relatively) real Qaeda. **A partly-real enemy is much better than an entirely fabricated one.**

**The most robust way for insider masterminds to stage 9/11 and get away with it is to arrange for their agents to infiltrate among "real foreign terrorists."** Let them come up with their own plots (or plant plots among them), choose a plot that will produce the results desired by the masterminds, and see that through to fruition. At some point, the masterminds and their agents will hijack the plot from the would-be hijackers, to make sure it happens. **You won't risk the whole game on the ability of amateurs to get away with it, you will help them along or even replace them (with a remote control hijacking, for example). But it's best to have "real terrorists" in play. They leave a more solid trail of evidence internationally.** Cops and agents and academics of two dozen countries can honestly confirm the existence of an al-Qaeda network. That way there is less need to initiate outside observers into the plot and you don't have to hope they are all stupid, as they would have to be to fall for a complete fabrication of "Qaeda." (Qaeda at this point is just a term of convenience for the Islamist extremist networks.)

**The best result would be for a whole bunch of Islamist extremists running around believing that their crew pulled off 9/11 all by themselves (how inspiring for them!). The patsies should believe they actually did it.** This was the case with the Reichstag Fire and Marinus van der Lubbe: the patsy believed he had done it.

<http://rigorousintuition.blogspot.com/2004/10/skinny-on-osama.html>

I've long thought that if we assume a decision had been taken to let it happen, then we should expect that measures were taken to ensure it happened precisely as desired, and spectacularly so. With so much at stake, nothing would be left to the skill and luck of the 19 hijackers. Flight 77's 270 degree turn to hit the ground floor of the virtually unoccupied side of the Pentagon, while supposedly piloted by the grossly incompetent Hani Hanjour, is the most striking example. The recent report that the WTC black boxes were recovered after all, is suggestive of the same: that the data conflicted somehow with the received fiction. **Perhaps the hijackers were themselves hijacked.**



“In some ways she was far more acute than Winston, and far less susceptible to Party propaganda. Once when he happened in some connection to mention the war against Eurasia, she startled him by saying casually that in her opinion the war was not happening. **The rocket bombs which fell daily on London were probably fired by the Government of Oceania itself,** just to keep people frightened’. This was an idea that had literally never occurred to him.” -- George Orwell, 1984

“They that can give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither” -- Ben Franklin

### Homeland Security is based on false pretenses

The new federal behemoth called “Homeland Security” is an expression of the lie that 9/11 happened because the FBI, CIA and other agencies were unable to communicate properly to “connect the dots” about clues that they had. “*Crossing the Rubicon*” documents a 2001 Rand Corporation report that noted **these agencies only had very minor communication difficulties regarding counter-terrorism intelligence.** The “intelligence reform” bill passed after the 2004 pseudo-election strengthened the “Patriot Act” by requiring a de facto national ID card and other provisions that eviscerate privacy and civil liberties. **Re-examining 9/11 is needed to undo the Patriot Act.**

A genuine approach to preventing terrorism would change US foreign policies that foment injustice and hatred, thus removing the incentive for many violent acts. Domestically, the US needs a giant effort to make our use of energy as efficient as possible, with massive investment in renewable energy jobs.

**The myth of 9/11 is that a larger police state system would have been able to stop it from happening**

**however, the evidence strongly suggests that**

**9/11 was an inside job**

**with the goals of domestic fascism and global empire**

### 9/11 and the Pentagon's Missing Trillions

On September 10, 2001, Donald Rumsfeld held a press conference to admit that the Pentagon could not account for **\$2.3 trillion (not billion) in spending.** While this story is still on CBS’s website, events the next day drowned out the coverage of this amazing scandal. At that time, the Pentagon’s Comptroller (in charge of the money), was Mr. Dov Zakheim, a member of the “Project for a New American Century.” Before he was put in charge of the Pentagon’s budget, Mr. Zakheim was with a defense contractor that makes remote control guidance systems for planes. For more on the missing trillions whereisthemoney.org

The severe amount of corruption and contempt for the citizens suggest that these issues could resonate with more people than the miserable Kerry campaign. **If the Democrats waged a better effort, the election rigging tactics to flip the results by a few percent in a few states would not have been enough to change the outcome.**

**George W. Bush** was not joking when he stated, immediately after the Supreme Court sElection in 2000, **"If this were a dictatorship, it would be a heck of a lot easier, just so long as I'm the dictator."**

### Unity: peace, environment, voting rights, and 9/11 truth movements—we all need each other

Discussing the core reasons for the wars, 9/11 complicity, and the "road map" for future oil wars are important for shifting public consciousness if we want a more peaceful world with different operating paradigms.

No president has lost his job for committing mass murder of people in other countries, but **if people face the truth about Bush's complicity in the murder of three thousand people on 9/11, Bush would be at 5% in the polls, not 50%. The only change avoiding this will lead to is renaming our “No War On Iraq” protest signs to “No War On Iran.”**

### Resources recommended by www.oilempire.us

[http://www.gp.org/articles/dunlea\\_2004\\_12\\_06.html](http://www.gp.org/articles/dunlea_2004_12_06.html)  
**Will the Peace Movement Pursue the Truth About 9/11?**  
 Opinion by Mark A. Dunlea, New York Green Party

[www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041101130426916](http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041101130426916)  
**Day of the Dead: The Haunting of the White House**  
 Catherine Austin Fitts and Cynthia McKinney

“**Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil**” by Michael Ruppert  
[www.fromthewilderness.com](http://www.fromthewilderness.com)

### The Real Hijackers

